Attorney Docket: 2017-108 Application No. 10/598,538

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 6, 2009. Applicant fully complies

with the Examiner's teaching. No arguments entered in this amendment.

Objection to Drawing

To comply with the Examiner's teaching, Applicant has labeled FIG. 1 with 'Prior Art'

and the amended drawing sheet is attached herewith.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

The Examiner has rejected claim 1 as being anticipated over the cited reference.

Without arguments, Applicant has incorporated allowable claim 2 into claim 1, thus canceling

original claim 2., and further incorporated allowable claim 8 into claim 6, thus canceling

original claim 8.

Allowable Claims

The Examiner objected claims 2, 4-5 and 8-9, stating the objected claims would be

allowable if rewritten to include all limitations of the base claim. Applicant has incorporated the

allowable claim 4 into the first independent claim 1, and amended dependency of claims 3-6 and 8

to claim 1. Applicant now believes claims 1 and 3-17 are in condition for allowance recordation

Applicant respectfully requests a notice of allowance for claims 1 and 3-17 be issued in

due course, if required, under the Examiner's Amendment. If a telephone conversation is

believed to clarify matters with regard to its allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 4, 2009

James E. Bame

Regis. No. 44521

Tel: 213-384-7200

IPLA P.A.

3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl.

Los Angeles, CA 90010